

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ospto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/724,830	11/28/2000	Dipayan Gangopadhyay	08111-017002	7731
24852	7590 11/23/2004		EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORP			DAS, CHAMELI	
IP LAW 555 BAILEY AVENUE , J46/G4			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA 95141			2122	

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## 84

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
09/724,830	GANGOPADHYAY ET AL	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
CHAMELI C DAS	2122	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 20 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. It no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
fee have fee und (2) as s	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension as been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension er 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if led, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🔀	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: 4.
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-7</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's argument filed on 9/20/04 have been fully considered but they do not persuasive. The applicant has argued in substance that Doyle (US 4,928,247) does not disclose the graphical representation of transactions and events that are asynchronous. Doyle discloses the graphical representation of transactions and events are asynchronous in nature (Doyle, Abstract, col 2, lines 48-68, col 3, lines 1-2). Doyle discloses that the system executes one or more application programs which results in graphic data structures, they are asynchronous in nature (Abstract) and each application views the graphics processing as its own, i.e. they occur independently (asynchronous in nature), graphics subsystem operates in an asynchronous mode (col 9, lines 9-11) and the events are asynchronous in nature (col 18, lines 20-23). The applicant has argued that "graphical objects themselves that are asynchronous in nature", the claim does not recite this limitation.

Charli C. Das
CHAMELI C. DAS
CHAMELI EXAMINER

11/16/04